

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/511,450	Applicant(s) YOKOTA, YATSUHARI	
	Examiner MICHAEL ABOAGYE	Art Unit 1793	

All Participants:

 (1) MICHAEL ABOAGYE.

 (2) DONALD W. HANSON.
Status of Application: RCE

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 15 April 2009
Time: 1:00 PM
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

1,3,8 and 10

Prior art documents discussed:

None
Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner identified 112, second paragraph issues with claims 1 and 8, regarding the limitation "said blowing means are installed to overlap as seen horizontally" and claims 3 and 10, regarding the limitation "said blowing means are installed to overlap as seen vertically". Therefore the examiner initiated a telephonic interview with the attorney of record on 04/15/2009 for possible resolution of said issues by an examiner's amendment to enable notice of allowance to be issued. However, the issues could not be resolved telephonic since the attorney needed some time to review the case with the Applicant(s). The attorney, therefore, requested an office action to give Applicant time to review the case.